

JS-6

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 ROSS CORNELL, an individual, and
12 BRYAN ESTRADA, an individual,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 v.

15 OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT
16 ATTORNEY, COUNTY OF
RIVERSIDE, and
DOES 1-100, inclusive,

17 Defendants.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. 5:22-cv-00789-JWH-SHK

JUDGMENT

1 Pursuant to the “Memorandum Opinion and Order on Plaintiffs’ Motion
2 For Preliminary Injunction [ECF No. 22]” entered substantially
3 contemporaneously herewith, and in accordance with Rule 58 of the Federal
4 Rules of Civil Procedure,

5 It is hereby **ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED** as follows:

6 1. The operative pleading is the First Amended Complaint [ECF
7 No. 18] filed by Plaintiffs Ross Cornell and Bryan Estrada.

8 2. The Court deems it appropriate to **ABSTAIN** from exercising
9 jurisdiction over the claims that Plaintiffs assert in their First Amended
10 Complaint, pursuant to *Younger v. Harris*, 401 U.S. 37 (1971).

11 3. Accordingly, the First Amended Complaint is **DISMISSED for**
12 **lack of jurisdiction.**

13 4. Other than potential post-judgment remedies (including those
14 provided in Rule 54(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure), to the extent
15 that any party requests any other form of relief, such request is **DENIED.**

16 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

17
18 Dated: July 25, 2022

19 
20 _____
21 John W. Holcomb
22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
23
24
25
26
27
28